

WASHOE COUNTY PREAWARD RISK ASSESSMENT

ENTITY INFORMATION

ENTITY NAME:	
ENTITY ADDRESS:	
ENTITY CONTACT:	
PHONE NUMBER:	EMAIL:
DUNS Number:	_
 SAM - Excluded Parties List System review: Entity Name matches Status is Active No Active Exclusions No Delinquent Federal Debt Expiration Date: 	
Subaward Program Name:	
Amount of potential Subaward:	
Is a Single Audit Required? Yes	No
Fiscal Year Start Date:	
Scape of Work	

${\bf GENERAL\ ASSESSMENT-All\ Awards}$

Yes responses indicate risk.

1.	Is the entity new to operating or managing State and/or Federal funds (has not done so within the past five years)? Obtain a summary of subrecipient's federal funds experience (how much, what programs, and for how long). Yes No N/A Comments:
2.	Is this program new for the entity (managed for less than three years)? Yes No N/A Comments: If Yes, has the entity managed a similar project or subaward?
3.	Has it been more than one year since the recipient received a single audit (no single audit=higher risk)? Yes No N/A Comments:
4.	Has it been more than two years since the program or a similar program was audited as a major program? Yes No N/A Comments:
	If no, then were there findings? Yes No N/A What were the number and extent of findings/violations in prior audits (more violations/more sever-higher risk), and does the entity have a corrective action plan for correcting findings? Comments:

5.	Are the staff assigned to the program inexperienced with the program (worked with the program less than two funding cycles)?					
	Yes No N/A Comments:					
6.	Are the entity's financial and/or administration management systems new or substantially changed? Yes No N/A Comments:					
7.	Has the entity received monitoring directly from a Federal awarding agency, which resulted in findings violations? Yes No N/A If yes, what were the number and extent of findings/violations (more violations/more sever-higher risk) and does the entity have a corrective action plan for correcting findings?					
	Comments:					

EXPANDED GENERAL ASSESSMENT- Awards of \$100,000 or greater *Yes responses indicate risk.*

8.	Has there been high staff tur	nover or	agency r	eorganizat	ion that a	ffects this	s program?	
	Yes No 1	N/A	_					
	Comments:							
9.	Has the entity been <u>untimely</u>	 '						
	a. Applications							
	b. Amendments	Yes	No	N/A				
	c. Fiscal Reporting							
				N/A				
	e. Budgets/Revisions	Yes	No	N/A				
10.	Has the entity been untimely Yes No N/A		onding to	program a	nd/or fisc	al questi	ons?	
	Comments:	1						
	Commones.							
11	Is the program unusually co	mnlav (a	a progra	m fundin	a match i	raguiram	ants)?	
11.	Yes No 1	_		iii, rundin	g, matem	equilenn	ciits):	
	Comments:	,,,,,	-					
12.	Have any other entities (pro	gram offi	ices, audi	tors, staff	emploved	by the e	ntity, etc.) ale	erted us to
	potential risk areas?	5. W. 11. 911.	,		omproj ou		,	
	Yes No 1	N/A	_					
	Comments:							

13.	Does the entit	ty lack effect	ive procedures and controls?
(Pr	ogrammatic, e	ligibility, fis	cal, purchasing, personnel activity reporting, etc)
	Yes	No	N/A
	Comments:		
14.	Have more th	an three fund	ding cycles passed since the entity had an on-site monitoring visit?
	Yes		
	Comments:		
15.	Were there fin	ndings/violat	tions in the prior visit?
15.	Were there fin	•	-

OVERALL FISCAL ASSESSMENT - Awards of \$100,000 or greater Yes responses indicate risk.

1.	Is this grant large in terms of percentage of overall funding for the entity?					
	Yes	No	N/A			
	Comments:					
2.			een untimely in the drawdown of funds?			
	Yes Comments:	No	N/A			
	Comments.					
3	Are there vari	ations hetwe	en expenditures and the budget?			
٥.			N/A (large variations=higher risk)			
	Comments:					
4.	Has the entity Yes		nificant unspent funds?			
	Comments:	110	IVA			
5.	Does the entit	y have a larg	e amount of budget carryover?			
	Yes	No	N/A			
	Comments:					

Are the entity's fiscal statistics outside of tolerance or trends (e.g. much more expenditures on supplied than average)?					
Yes	No	N/A			
Comments:					

FINANCIAL SYSTEM ASSESSMENT- Awards of \$100,000 or greater

No responses indicate risk.

1.	Does the entity have a financial management system in place to track and record the program expenditures? (Example QuickBooks, Visual Bookkeeper, Socrates Media, Peachtree or a Custom Proprietary System) Yes No N/A Comments:
2.	Does the accounting system identify the receipts and expenditure of program funds separately for each award?
	Yes No N/A Comments:
3.	Will the accounting system provide for the recording of expenditures for each award by budget cost categories shown in the approved budget?
	Yes No N/A Comments:
4.	Does the entity have a time and accounting system to track effort by cost objective?
	Yes No N/A Comments:

5.	Does the entity have	an indirect co	ost rate that is approved and current?
Ye	S No	N/A	
If Y	es, who approved the	rate? If No,	does the entity use the De Minimis 10% MTDC?

PROGRAMMATIC ASSESSMENT- Awards of \$100,000 or greater

	TROGRAMMATIC ASSESSMENT- Awards of \$100,000 of greater
1.	Did the entity meet annual measurable achievement objectives? Yes No N/A Comments:
2.	Does the entity have a system in place for ensuring client eligibility? Yes No N/A Comments:
3.	Other (Program Specific) criteria which is required by applicable statute/program rule.

Nonprofit Financial Stability Assessment - Awards of \$500,000 or greater

1.	Purpose
1.	I dipose

opinion?

The purpose of this section is to use the Financial Statements of the entity/organization to determine its financial health. If independently audited financial statements are not available, the organization's Chief Financial Officer should be asked to prepare and certify a financial statement. Generally accepted Accounting Principles require organizations to maintain the following information:

Pri	inciples require organizations to maintain the following information:
a.	 Financial Statements Balance sheet or statement of financial position Income statement or statement of operations
b.	Notes to the Financial Statements such as: • Accounting policies (financial internal controls)
c.	The balance sheet statement can answer these questions: • Can the organization pay its bills? Yes No N/A Comments:
	Is there cash left over after the organization pays its bills? Yes No N/A Comments:
Th fin	Notes to the Financial Statement and Report of the Independent Auditor e notes and "management letters" contain information and disclosures important to the understanding of the ancial statements. The notes may seem lengthy and detailed, but some of the real story may be gleaned from areful reading of these notes.
	 a. Does the independent audit report for the most recent fiscal year contain an unmodified audit opinion? Yes No N/A If not, what kind of opinion did the auditor express? Why did the entity not receive an unmodified

REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS MAY INCLUDE (optional)

1.	Eligibility Policy and Procedures:				
	a.	Written procedures			
	b.	Complies with all eligibility requirements			
	c.	Mandatory for all participants			
	d.	Staff is versed policy and consistently uses			
	e.	Participant recorded confirm compliance			
2.	Procurement Policy and Procedures:				
	a.	Written procedures			
	b.	Code of Conduct			
	c.	Conflict of Interest			
	d.	Acceptable methods of procurement			
	e.	Features of solicitation			
3.	Key Personnel				
	a.	Job Descriptions or Roles and Responsibilities	s		
	b.	Resume/Vita or details qualifications			

SUBAWARD RISK ASSESSMENT RATING AND DETERMINATION

1. GENERAL	ASSESSMENT - Yes	Total YES				
2. EXPANDEI	O GENERAL ASSESS	Total YES				
3. OVERALL	FISCAL ASSESSME	Total YES				
4. FINANCIAI	L SYSTEM ASSESSN	Total NO				
5. PROGRAM	MATIC ASSESSMEN	Total NO				
6. NONPROFI	5. NONPROFIT FINANCIAL STABILITY ASSESSMENT- Summary comments:					
OVERALL RIS	SK LEVEL DETERN	MINATION:	TOTAL			
High Risk	Low Risk	Date of Determination:				
Reason(s) for D	etermination:					
If High Risk - S	Specific Conditions to	be included in sub-recipient Agreer	nent and/or Monitoring Plan:			
Preparer Signatu	ıre:	Date	o:			
Name/Title:						