Washoe County Community Services Department Central Truckee Meadows Remediation District Program SOQ Evaluation

2018 Potential Source Area Investigation SOQ Evaluation									
Award 1 - 5 points (whole numbers only) for each numbered item in each category With 1 = poor, 2 = below average, 3 = average, 4 = above average, and 5 = excellent									
EVALUATION OF QUALIFICATIONS	Submitting Firms								3
	Fugro	Atwell	Converse	Broadbent	Fugro	Atwell	Converse	Broadbent	-
	1/11/2018 1025	1/11/2018 1445	1/12/2018 1145	1/12/2018 1320	1/11/2018 1025	1/11/2018 1445	1/12/2018 1145	1/12/2018 1320	
a. Statement of Firm Qualifications (30% of score)	1023	1445		1320	1023	1773	1 1140	1320	
1. Firm clearly demonstrates the capability and experience to do the work AND a track record of success									
with similar projects.	4	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	
2. Firm clearly demonstrates source characterization capabilities and experience where chlorinated					v	Ť			
solvents are the primary concern.	4	5	3	5	3	4	3	5	
3. Firm clearly demonstrates the capability to identify and mitigate threats to groundwater caused by									
chlorinated solvent sources in the vadose zone.	4	4	3	5	4	4	3	5	
4. Firm presents an effective data-driven decision making framework for moving chlorinated solvent sites									
that threaten groundwater through characterization, remedial investigation, and feasibility.	3	4	3	5	2	3	2	5	
5. Firm clearly demonstrates familiarity and experience with: methods appropriate for conditions in the	_	_		_					
central Truckee Meadows; local agencies; and, relevant regulations.	3	5	4	5	3	4	4	5	
SUBTOTAL a. (25 POINTS MAXIMUM)	18	23	16	25	17	20	15	25	
b. Project Team Individuals (40% of score)			T	1		1	-	,	
1. Knowledge, skill, experience, location, and ability of key project personnel.	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	
2. PM has direct and relevant experience AND is a Nevada CEM.	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	
3. Knowledge, skill, experience, location, and ability of project team.	4	4	3	5	4	4	3	5	
4. Organizational structure that promotes effective and proactive communication and timely completion of	· ·				· · ·				
deliverables.	4	4	3	5	4	4	3	5	
5. Is the project team dependent on members that would require travel to participate? Rate this based on									
the degree of dependence and the resultant cost burden to the project for travel related costs. No travel									
dependence/travel-related costs = 5; High travel dependence/travel related costs = 1.	2	3	4	4	2	2	4	4	
6. Project team clearly demonstrates familiarity and experience with: methods appropriate for conditions									
in the central Truckee Meadows; local agencies; and, relevant regulations.	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	
SUBTOTAL b. (30 POINTS MAXIMUM)	22	24	21	28	21	22	21	28	
c. Related Project Work (30% of score)									
1. Past projects verify that team members have been sucessful in roles equivalent to their roles in this									
team.	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	
2. Past projects clearly demonstrate team success in characterizing and mitigating threats to groundwater								I T	
from chlorinated solvent sources.	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	
3. Past projects clearly demostrate successful completion in Washoe County (for clients other than	0	~				_			
Washoe County).	3	2	3	4	3	3	3	4	
4. Past projects clearly demonstrate successful completion for Washoe County.	2	5	3	4	2	5	3	4	
SUBTOTAL c. (20 POINTS MAXIMUM)	13	15	13	18	13	16	14	17	
PERCENT WEIGHTED SCORE (potential max of 25.5 = 100%)									
(((0.3 X SUBTOTAL a)+(0.4 X SUBTOTAL b)+(0.3 X SUBTOTAL c))/25.5) X 100	71.0	82.4	67.1	94.5	68.2	76.9	67.1	93.3	
		l					-		
FIRM'S RANKING	3	2	4	1	3	2	4	1	