

**From:** [cbwillb@charter.net](mailto:cbwillb@charter.net)  
**To:** [Lloyd, Trevor](#)  
**Subject:** RE: RE: RE: str workshop question  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 14, 2023 9:08:56 AM

---

[**NOTICE:** This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on **links** or open **attachments** unless you are sure the content is safe.]

great thanks

There are also other interventions which I believe are indicated, e.g.:

- cap on STR number as is in place throughout much of the area except NV
- more enforcement staff and more vigorous enforcement (e.g., on site spot checks)
- more flexible reporting of issues (current requirements present obstacles)
- if the current zoning changes pass with no parking requirements for much development, where will incoming STR vehicles park??? Even now parking is a huge issue. Build large multi-family developments with no or very minimal parking and without further STR restrictions > cars go where??
- and how will all of this be successfully and safely evacuated when current situation and planning is at best barely sufficient?

I will write this up as another comment for the STR workshop. As always, thanks for listening.

Carole

-----  
From: "Lloyd, Trevor" <TLloyd@washoecounty.gov>  
To: <cbwillb@charter.net>  
Sent: Tue, Nov 14 2023 10:06 AM  
Subject: RE: RE: str workshop question

Thanks for your comments Carole. The code currently allows ADU's to be used for STRs. The section was highlighted so that we could get responses to potentially prohibit the use of ADU's as STRs.

**Trevor Lloyd**

Planning Manager, Planning & Building Division | Community Services Department

[tlloyd@washoecounty.gov](mailto:tlloyd@washoecounty.gov) | Direct Line: 775.328.3617

My working hours: Monday-Friday 8:00am to 5:00pm

Visit us first online: [www.washoecounty.gov/csd](http://www.washoecounty.gov/csd)



Planning Division: 775.328.6100 | [Planning@washoecounty.gov](mailto:Planning@washoecounty.gov)

CSD Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:00am to 4:00pm

1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, NV 89512



Have some kudos to share about a Community Services Department employee or experience?

[Submit a Nomination](#)

---

**From:** cbwillb@charter.net <cbwillb@charter.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 13, 2023 11:47 PM  
**To:** Lloyd, Trevor <TLloyd@washoecounty.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: RE: str workshop question

[**NOTICE:** This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Thanks Trevor. I will try to join the Thursday event but may not make it (prior commitment). I did review the proposed changes and submit the attached as public comment for the workshop. My biggest concern is the change to allow ADUs to be used as STRs. The overarching purpose for ADUs is to provide housing. If they can be used as STRs, there goes the housing out the window. This makes no sense to me. CA has a law prohibiting new ADUs from being used as STRs but, unless something has changed recently, NV lacks such a law thus this restriction re ADU use is important. Added affordable housing is critical and ADUs are a way to provide this so let's not undermine that effort!

Thanks, Carole

-----  
**From:** "Lloyd, Trevor" <TLloyd@washoecounty.gov>  
**To:** <cbwillb@charter.net>  
**Sent:** Mon, Nov 13 2023 06:07 PM  
**Subject:** RE: str workshop question

Hi Carole,

For some reason, some people are having problems opening up the link from the email, which web browser are you using? We have updated our STR section on the Planning Website. Here

is the link, I hope you can open it. [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](#).

Thursday at 4:00, we are hosting a zoom meeting for you to attend remotely at this zoom link:  
<https://washoecounty-gov.zoom.us/j/97078862795>

I've attached a pdf of the language that we're looking to amend. Hope to see you on Thursday.



**Trevor Lloyd**

**Planning Manager, Planning & Building Division | Community Services Department**

[tlloyd@washoecounty.gov](mailto:tlloyd@washoecounty.gov) | Direct Line: 775.328.3617

**My working hours: Monday-Friday 8:00am to 5:00pm**

Visit us first online: [www.washoecounty.gov/csd](http://www.washoecounty.gov/csd)

Planning Division: 775.328.6100 | [Planning@washoecounty.gov](mailto:Planning@washoecounty.gov)

CSD Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:00am to 4:00pm

1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, NV 89512



Have some kudos to share about a Community Services Department employee or experience?

[Submit a Nomination](#)

---

**From:** [cbwillb@charter.net](mailto:cbwillb@charter.net) <[cbwillb@charter.net](mailto:cbwillb@charter.net)>

**Sent:** Monday, November 13, 2023 3:15 PM

**To:** Lloyd, Trevor <[TLloyd@washoecounty.gov](mailto:TLloyd@washoecounty.gov)>

**Subject:** str workshop question

[**NOTICE:** This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on links or open **attachments** unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Hi,

I am trying to understand this workshop. When I click on your email link, they are asking me to identify this device - I am asking why? and what info is being requested? I could be responding from any number of spots to an email so what is the purpose of this and is it even legit?

Then I looked at the County web site and don't see anything?? So asking you directly:

- when and how can I participate in workshop remotely?
- if that is not feasible for me schedule-wise, how can I submit comment?
- please forward the list of proposed amendments with rationale
- please explain what seems to be a requirement re my device used - why? what will info be used for? how will it be protected?
- what does the comment that "no officials will attend workshop" mean? why not - seems like officials should be hearing public feedback directly!

Thanks much, Carole Black (Incline Village resident)

Public Comment re Washoe County proposed STR regulation changes submitted by Carole Black  
Incline Village resident:

Section 110.319.15 Standards.

a.6. Only one STR will be permitted per parcel, with the exception that a second STR may be allowed if established within a legally permitted attached or detached accessory dwelling.

**Comment: STR's should not be allowed in ADUs. The purpose of ADU zoning was specifically to provide additional HOUSING within communities. This was recognized in TRPA Governing Board and local governing discussions. Further allowing >1 STR per parcel would create even more of a hotel situation with additional neighborhood burden which is detrimental to the community.**

a.15. In the event that a property owner wishes to stop operating an STR and/or wishes to no longer be subject to the STR regulations, then the STR permit for that property must be relinquished.

**Comment: specify timelines**

c. 15 proposed change n/a in Tahoe Area Plan area

Section 110.319.25 Permit Fees. ... Non-payment of fees is cause for cancellation of an inprocess STR application or revocation or non-renewal of an existing STR permit. An STR permit holder may request or be assigned an updated STR permit renewal date upon invoicing or payment of a pro-rated permit fee.

**Comment: A delayed payment should NOT trigger an extension in permit renewal date.**

Section 110.319.30 Enforcement ... 3. Permit requirements

a.

(3) Sale of Property. If a property is sold and the new property owner wishes to continue the STR use on the property, a new application must be submitted to Washoe County and a new STR permit must be obtained by the purchasing property owner. (

4) Grace Period for Renewals. A grace period not to exceed 60 days from the date of expiration may be granted for STR renewals at the discretion of the Division Director under extenuating circumstances.

**Comment: 30-day renewal grace period should be sufficient with fines for operation during the delay period.**

c. ...

Additionally, any violation of these standards issued by the Incline Village General Improvement District (IVGID), Northern Nevada Public Health (NNPH) or the Washoe County Sheriff's Office (WCSO) shall be considered STR violations.

**Comment: Add NLTFPD**

Section 110.319.35 Inspections and Self-Certification

a. 3. (3) The property owner provides a signed and notarized self-certification checklist attesting that the property meets the safety standards identified in this article.

**Comment: notarized is a minor inconvenience which adds validity to a process – please retain requirement.**

Section 110.319.40 Permit Revocation. Revocation of an STR permit shall be subject to the requirements of this section. In the event an STR permit is revoked through any of the below procedures, a new STR permit shall not be issued **for to** the same property **owner of the subject property** for a period of one (1) year immediately following the date of revocation.

**Comment: Suggest apply to both property and then property owner.**

b. (4) **Construction occurs on the property without required building permits.**

**Comment: period of revocation? Property and owner and builder?**

Section 110.319.50 Restrictions During a Declared Emergency.

8. Hearing required; appeals. The respondent who has received a stop activity order or remediation order may request an administrative hearing regarding the stop activity order or remediation order by contacting the administrative hearing office within 30 calendar days from the date the stop activity order or remediation order was served, **unless the Stop Activity Order was issued for a violation of the Short-Term Rental (STR) ordinance in which case an appeal must be requested within 14 calendar days from the date notice of the Stop Activity Order was served.**

Neighborhood Meeting  
Comment Card

Project Name: Short Term Rentals

Name: Roxanna Dunn

Company/Organization (if applicable): IN/EB CAB

Address: 803 Jennifer St IV

Comment:

I understand that Truchee requires a one-year waiting period after purchase of a property before applying for an STR permit. This would deter investors gobbling up properties.

Project Name: Short Term Rentals

Neighborhood Meeting  
Comment Card

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Company/Organization (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Comment:

SHORT TERM RENTALS SHOULD BE CAPPED AT 450, NO MORE THAN 1 STR WITHIN 200' NO STR'S IN ADU'S,

Neighborhood Meeting  
Comment Card

Project Name: Short Term Rentals

Name: Kristina Hill

Company/Organization (if applicable): NA

Address: 1357 Zurich Lane, I: V.

Comment:

- NO STRs in ADUs
- more transparency - the contact person <sup>phone #</sup> for each STR should be public info.
- cap the number of STRs !!
- do not remove notary requirement

Project Name: Short Term Rentals

Neighborhood Meeting  
Comment Card

Name: MADONNA DUNBAR

PUBLIC WORKS

Company/Organization (if applicable): INCLINE VILLAGE GID

Address: 1220 SWEETWATER IV NV 89451

Comment:

I NEED A DIRECT CONTACT  
PERSON FOR STR TRASH VIOLATIONS  
WIN IV / NV.  
Thanks - Madonna



Neighborhood Meeting  
Comment Card

Project Name: Short Term Rentals

Name: Aaron Vanderpool

Company/Organization (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

Address: ~~80~~ Mt. Haven Ln, Reno NV

.....  
Comment:

I am against all STR's except in owner  
occupied primary residence.

STR's grow wealth inequality, externalize costs to neighbors  
& community and are a public nuisance.

→ Burden of proof to neighbors for enforcement!

I agree no accessory dwellings

I am against renewal grace period. over →

an expiration date is an expiration date,  
AGAINST removing notarization requirement,  
notarizing is easy.

Neighborhood Meeting  
Comment CardProject Name: Short Term RentalsName: Pamela Tsigidinos

Company/Organization (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

Address: Mill Creek neighborhood.....  
Comment:

Amendment #1 Section 110.319.15(6) no to ADUs as allowed STRs

Amendment #2 If permit is relinquished proof must be provided

Amendment #5 Section 110.319.30(a) Yes - If ownership changes to LLC it must be obtained as standalone

Amendment #6 Grace period of 30 days

Amendment #7 Section 110.319.30(c) Yes - IVGID, NNPH, WCSO violation counts as violation

Amendment #8 Section 110.319.35(a)(3) notarization must be provided

remove self-certification and safety standards ~~remove the requirement~~ require inspections be done annuallySTR  
not  
multiple  
properties

add  
Amendment #7: Local responsible managers ~~contact~~ <sup>property</sup> contact info must be <sup>Attachment A</sup> <sup>Page 12</sup> available on the County website  
Accela must be available ~~with~~ <sup>easy</sup> with the touch of the ~~button~~ <sup>info</sup> a button on the County website for STRs.

Publish all violations on County website

Amendment 9-10

a new STR permit shall not be issued to the same property <sup>regardless of # of owners</sup> for a ~~period~~ <sup>period</sup> of one (1) year immediately ~~following~~ <sup>following</sup> the date of revocation

Revocation will occur if construction occurs on the property w/o required building permits

Significantly increase fees and enforcement staff should be available on weekends not a 3rd party vendor

Cap STRs and restrict them to commercial ~~and~~ areas  
No more than 1 STR within 200 ft

---

Neighborhood Meeting  
Comment Card

Project Name: Short Term Rentals

Name: ROBIN + MIKE RICHARDSON

Company/Organization (if applicable): TYROLIAN VILLAGE

Address: 1464 GLARUS INCLINE VILLAGE

.....  
Comment:

- ① STRONGLY OPPOSE 2<sup>ND</sup> UNIT ON ONE PARCEL
- ② STRONGLY SUPPORT LIMITING # OF STR'S IN INCLINE VILLAGE
- ③ NEED ADDITIONAL ENFORCEMENT - ONE OFFICER IS NOT ENOUGH
- ④ SUPPORT MUCH HIGHER LICENSE FEE & PENALTIES
- ⑤ ANY CHANGE IN OWNERSHIP, WHETHER OR NOT THE PROPERTY IS SOLD, NEEDS  
A NEW APPLICATION;
- ⑥ EACH LICENSE FOR ONE PROPERTY. AN LLC WITH MULTIPLE OWNERS CANNOT  
BE ALLOWED TO USE ONE LICENSE FOR MULTIPLE PROPERTIES



- ⑦ NEED PROPERTY MANAGER'S CONTACT INFO FOR ALL STR'S SO ~~APPROPRIATE~~ CAN BE ADDRESSED IN REAL TIME
- ⑧ ~~REMOVE~~ REMOVE SELF CERTIFICATION - SHOULD BE CONDUCTED BY COUNTY OFFICIAL & CONFIRMED BY INSPECTOR <sup>R</sup>
- ⑨ KEEP THE NOTARIZATION
- ⑩ IF MULTIPLE OWNERS & PERMIT IS REVOKED, ANOTHER OWNER SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO RE-APPLY
- ⑪ IF MULTIPLE OWNERS - DO ALL NEED TO APPLY?

PLEASE LOOK INTO PUTTING A CAP  
ON STR'S IN INCLINE VILLAGE.

---

Neighborhood Meeting  
Comment Card

Project Name: Short Term Rentals

Name: Benedicto Jersey 775-636-2417

Company/Organization (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

.....  
Comment:

Section 110.319.15 - I agree with accessory dwelling: to get STR permit - Total occupancy  
limits shall be considered by parcels.

#2 - it does not seem fair as people who have never got an STR can still mixed  
up Tahoe regulations - through the STR permit process and fire inspection,  
STR dwellings are safer than lots of dwellings in Indian Village  
we should incentive that.

- #7 - I totally disagree with giving publicly contact details of responsible person -
- #8 - Not Motorization is a good thing.

I would like to suggest considering incentive for good hosts. Hosting should be a celebration for the guests and to benefit the neighborhood by bringing money in (better dwelling, tourism cash, ...)

How could we reward the hosts that are doing a great job and may be get their help to educate new hosts in the area.

Good hosting has nothing to do with proximity but with respect of the guests, the neighbors. Some property managers are doing a pretty job accepting all guests to book.

---



Neighborhood Meeting  
Comment CardProject Name: Short Term RentalsName: ALEX TSIGDINOS

Company/Organization (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

Address: 1080 OXEN RD., INCLINE VILLAGE.....  
Comment:

- CREATE SEPARATE ZONES FOR INCINE/CRYSTAL BAY  
AND OTHER PARTS OF UNINCORPATED WASHOE - NEEDS  
DIFFER DRAMATICALLY
- FINES MUST BE SUBSTANTIAL AS DETERANT - INCREASE WITH EACH VIOLATION
- LIMIT STR PERMITS TO LEGAL RESIDENTS (PERMANT  
RESIDENTS) OF HOME: ALLOWS FOR HOSTED STRS AND  
RENTAL OF HOUSE WHILE HOMEOWNER IS OUT OF TOWN,
- USE TOT TAX TO FUND PROGRAM

(OVER)

- ALLOW ONLY ONE STR PER PARCEL TO PRECLUDE ADUS MEANT FOR ~~AFFORDABLE~~ AFFORDABLE/WORKFORCE HOUSING TO BE USED AS VACATION RENTALS.
  - WCSO CITATIONS FOR NOISE, PARKING, ETC. SHOULD COUNT AS VIOLATIONS
  - RESPONSIBLE PROPERTY MANAGER, AGENT OR OWNER'S CONTACT # SHOULD BE MADE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE (24x7)
  - NO TIME LIMIT FOR "THREE STRIKES" (i.e. "WITHIN ONE YEAR")  
THREE VIOLATIONS, NO TIME LIMIT, SHOULD CANCEL STR PERMIT.
-

**From:** [Kelly Coffey](#)  
**To:** [Lloyd, Trevor](#)  
**Subject:** Short Term Rental - Proposed Amendment  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 3:46:48 PM

---

[**NOTICE:** This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on **links** or open **attachments** unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Hi Trevor,

Thank you for hosting the STR ordinance discussion the other day. It was helpful information.

Here is the statement I submitted online for your consideration to be amended.

It has been a real struggle for a the vast majority of Tyrolian Village STR owners since the ordinance passed in May 2022.

I have spoken to all of them at sometime. I hope you can understand the hardship.

If the commissioners have any questions, I would be happy to answer any they might have.

Have a good weekend.

Kelly Coffey

The STR ordinance has resulted in my property parking rights being taking away by my HOA.

I don't want the County involved with any aspect of determining the parking for multi-dwelling homes and should ensure the ordinance CANNOT BE MANIPULATED to take away parking rights that owners have had for decades. The governing body of a multi-dwelling community should be the body that determines the parking and if an owner has an issue with what is reported then they can address it in civil court without the defendants claiming the WCC-319 Ordinance prohibits them from allowing STR use of shared parking. Our community has a hybrid parking model with assigned spots and abundant shared and street parking. The ordinance has failed to treat this model equally as it does for fully assigned or fully shared parking.

Please remove the May 2022 amended language and modify WCC-319 as follows.

**Section 110.319.10(d)**

For STRs within multi-unit developments, the application must include evidence of the number (and location, if applicable) of parking spaces allocated to **for or reported for (if applicable)** the unit  
~~Where parking spaces are unassigned, overflow parking will not count toward the required number of parking spaces;~~

The reported parking provided by an HOA is sufficient evidence that should not be contested by the County since the governing board is the legal acting fiduciary for the community. Again, if an owner does not agree with reported parking by the Board then the owner can resolve as a civil matter with the HOA and does not need to involved the County.

TRPA also encourages shared parking strategies and that overbroad language in the statue like the subject clause could give an overzealous anti-STR HOA board a hook to persecute STR owners and deprive them of property rights.

Thank you for your consideration  
Kelly Coffey  
1314 St. Gallen Ct.  
Incline Village

**From:** [Linda L. Smith](#)  
**To:** [Stark, Katherine](#)  
**Subject:** Copy of STR Reg Amendment comments  
**Date:** Thursday, November 16, 2023 5:58:23 AM  
**Attachments:** [Statement Nov 15 STR regs .docx](#)

---

[**NOTICE:** This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on **links** or open **attachments** unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Katy. It was good to meet you in person last night. Attached are the comments from Concerned Neighbors of Tyrolian Village. Hope this helps you prepare your notes. Also we would support double or triple fines and fees so they genuinely serve as a deterrent for violations of the county regulations. Right now, the STR owners simply slightly increase their rents to incorporate fines as part of the cost of doing business. Fines need to be large and meaningful. Thanks for any help you can provide to make our neighborhoods more liveable.

Linda Smith  
Concerned Neighbors of Tyrolian Village

COMMENTS ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO  
SHORT TERM RENTAL REGULATIONS—ARTICLE 319

November 15, 2023

By THE CONCERNED NEIGHBORS OF TYROLIAN VILLAGE

I am providing comments on behalf of Concerned Neighbors of Tyrolian Village. We now number more than 80 property owners in Tyrolian Village, so please amplify my voice 80 times since I have been asked to speak on behalf of my neighbors and friends. We are commenting on most of the proposed changes, plus suggesting a number of other amendments we believe should be incorporated into Article 319.

By way of background, our HOA of 226 properties in Incline Village has been overrun by STRs your division permitted. There are now 32 STRs plus at least three that are operating without a proper license. They have destroyed an otherwise tranquil residential community, where many of us have lived for more than 20 years. Noise, trash, traffic, fires, drugs, are but a few of the problems they have brought into our single-family residential HOA. Families with children have moved out because of fear for their safety. I can document all of the above. Please re-look at the photos and testimony we supplied to the Commission earlier this year.

1. Section 110.319.15(a) We oppose allowing a 2<sup>nd</sup> STR per parcel, even if another structure is legally built. It adversely impacts density of all residential communities—particularly in the environmentally sensitive Tahoe Basin.
2. Section 110.319.15 (a)(15). We oppose the wording that allows an STR owner to relinquish their permit if they don't want to be subject to the regulations. This encourages STR owners to operate without one and circumvent the rules. Proof that STRs are operating without a license is difficult. You should require that if an owner turns in their permit they should provide proof that they are living in the unit or proof they have rented it long term.
3. 110.319.15(c) Noise Standards should be amended to require all STRs to provide a noise management plan—not just those with violations.

4. 110.319.15(d) Trash standards fail to address HOAs with commercial trash bins. TVA doesn't allow individual bear boxes. Please see the photos we provided of trash piled up on our roadways by STR renters and cleaners. Also we have had 2 dumpster fires caused by STR renters putting hot coals in our bins. You don't address this problem.
5. 110.319.20(c)(13) and 1101.319.35. Additional Safety Standards. You should add new language that requires defensible space reviews annually by NLTFPD or the applicable fire district. The cost should be fully borne by the STR owner and payable to the Fire Dept. Don't allow self-certification – your enforcement officer, Steve Oriol, can inform you of the number of falsified claims he has received from owners .
6. 110.319.30 Enforcement. We support language that when a sale occurs the STR license must be relinquished and the new owner must apply de novo. This should also apply when the ownership changes without a sale—such as from an individual to an LLC.
7. 110.319.30(c) We agree that a violation issued by IVGID and sheriffs should count. One of my neighbors used to work for Vacasa as an STR manager and describes numerous times she has had to call the police at night because the renters refused to stop their illegal activities and the STR owner didn't answer phone calls at 1:00 AM.
8. 110.319.35(a) delete the self-certification language and require annual Bldg Division and Defensible space reviews. These houses get trashed and needles and debris pile up quickly. Trying to get propane tanks off the decks has been a problem for our HOA. Too much is at stake for the safety of our community to not require annual reviews. Also you should not eliminate the notary requirement. As your enforcement officer will attest, there are too many examples of STR owners not telling the truth—i.e. such as how many parking spaces they have.
9. 110.319.40(b) we concur with this change. We have an example at 1359 Zurich and 1329 Thurgau of construction without a building permit.
10. 110.319.40(c) Grounds for automatic revocation should be tightened to 3 violations in 2 years. Multiple violations during a single inspection should each count as one violation.

In addition to the above, we request that at least 2 full time enforcement officers be assigned to Incline Village, where an estimated 700 of the 900 STRs are located. We also ask that the property tax of STRs be increased to reflect the fact they are operating as commercial entities. We would encourage the County to place a moratorium on STR permits until you study in detail the impact of workforce housing. The realtors are wrong that there is no impact. Corporations that operate these STRs are not using them for family holidays. Finally, we again ask that the County put a cap on STR permits—similar to what the other counties surrounding Lake Tahoe have done.

Thank you.

Linda L. Smith

On behalf of Concerned Neighbors of Tyrolian Village



**Section 110.319.15 Standards.**

**(b) Parking Standards**

**(2) All parking spaces must be: improved to Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) standards; developed on-site within property boundaries; and dedicated specifically for parking. In multi-unit complexes, parking must be in designated parking spaces (if applicable) and limited to the number of spaces allotted to the Unit.**

**Changes Suggested:**

**1 - Add an additional line:**

In multi-unit complexes, parking may not be within property boundaries.

**2 - Change the line:**

**Old:** In multi-unit complexes, parking must be in designated parking spaces (if applicable) and limited to the number of spaces allotted to the Unit

**New (suggested):** In multi-unit complexes, parking must be in designated parking areas (if applicable) and limited to the number of vehicles that can be parked in the designated parking areas reserved for the unit. The determination of the number of vehicles, their parking location and orientation in a parking area reserved for the unit is not different between STR and non-STR uses of the unit. A reserved parking area can be a garage, parking bay, driveway, common element parking or any other parking area which is reserved for a unit's use.

**Rationale:**

1 - Parking is not within property boundaries for many multi-unit complexes within Washoe County, but reserved for use for the specific units. Adding this line clarifies this case.

2 - There has been considerable confusion on what is considered a "parking space" and what is considered "allotted". The term "parking space" creates confusion as it can mean one parking spot or multiple parking spots. The STR code however determines occupancy based on the number of vehicles that can be parked at the unit, hence it is important to call out "vehicles" specifically. The term "reserved" is more appropriate as it allows for situations where multi-unit complexes have a "placard" system where the "reserved" spot may physically change. Also, added clarification that there is no such thing as "STR" parking which is different from the non-STR use (full time resident or long term tenant) parking.

**Concern**

In my experience, Tyrolian Village HomeOwners Association (TVHOA) has been misusing their authority and using parking letters to restrict parking and consequently occupancy in STR's. The process to challenge these parking letters through the Nevada Ombudsman office is long and can take several years and puts a significant financial burden on the homeowner. In contrast, the TVHOA Board uses HOA legal funds to hire attorneys and defend their position. This is patently unfair to the homeowners.

I would like to suggest that Washoe County should remove the need for parking letters and parking evidence for multi-unit complexes, and award STR Permits just based on building occupancy. Since most multi-unit complexes are in private communities, the parking enforcement for the multi-unit complex should be a task for the respective HOA's, and Washoe county code enforcement should remove itself from verifying and administering this parking compliance. This is consistent for long term rentals where parking enforcement is not undertaken by Washoe county on private properties and left to the HOA's. If Washoe county will continue to require Parking Letters as evidence and since this process is initiated by Washoe county and not by the Homeowner associations, then it must ideally also institute an appeals process where the homeowner can challenge the parking letter issued by the HOA rather than go through a long Nevada Ombudsman ADR/Intervention process to seek relief.

---

**Section 110.319.15 Standards.**  
**(e) Occupancy Limits**

**Changes Suggested**

Add an additional sub-section as follows:

(6) Occupancy Limits of the STR do not apply when the STR owner is in residence and the STR is not rented

**Rationale**

Since STR code limits the occupancy of the home to the max allowed under STR permit, STR owners are unable to host their extended families during the holidays. It would be great if the Washoe County code were to allow this exception. Additional checks can be instituted such as STR owners need to register with the county when they are in residence and will exceed the occupancy limit of the STR.

---

**Section 110.319.30 Enforcement**

**(c) Noncompliance with Standards**

Proposed New Addition: Additionally, any violation of these standards issued by the Incline Village General Improvement District (IVGID), Northern Nevada Public Health (NNPH) or the Washoe County Sheriff's Office (WCSO) shall be considered STR violations.

**Concerns**

1 - I am concerned that this addition will be used by full time residents as a tool to put STR permits in peril. There needs to be checks and balances that the process will not be misused.

E.g. Will a citation by the Sheriff's office automatically count as a STR violation? If yes, this will only provide an incentive to residents opposed to STR's to keep calling the Sheriff's office at the slightest pretext. There is virtually no "cost" to people who file a complaint which creates an incentive to file spurious complaints. There is significant cost to the homeowners to acquire and maintain these STR permits, which provides a strong incentive for STR permit owners to stay in compliance.

2- Does the data suggest that STR non-compliance or number of "verifiable" complaints are increasing? If the answer is no, then we should rethink why we need more regulations.

COMMENTS ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO  
SHORT TERM RENTAL REGULATIONS—ARTICLE 319

November 15, 2023

By THE CONCERNED NEIGHBORS OF TYROLIAN VILLAGE

I am providing comments on behalf of Concerned Neighbors of Tyrolian Village. We now number more than 80 property owners in Tyrolian Village, so please amplify my voice 80 times since I have been asked to speak on behalf of my neighbors and friends. We are commenting on most of the proposed changes, plus suggesting a number of other amendments we believe should be incorporated into Article 319.

By way of background, our HOA of 226 properties in Incline Village has been overrun by STRs your division permitted. There are now 32 STRs plus at least three that are operating without a proper license. They have destroyed an otherwise tranquil residential community, where many of us have lived for more than 20 years. Noise, trash, traffic, fires, drugs, are but a few of the problems they have brought into our single-family residential HOA. Families with children have moved out because of fear for their safety. I can document all of the above. Please re-look at the photos and testimony we supplied to the Commission earlier this year.

1. Section 110.319.15(a) We oppose allowing a 2<sup>nd</sup> STR per parcel, even if another structure is legally built. It adversely impacts density of all residential communities—particularly in the environmentally sensitive Tahoe Basin.
2. Section 110.319.15 (a)(15). We oppose the wording that allows an STR owner to relinquish their permit if they don't want to be subject to the regulations. This encourages STR owners to operate without one and circumvent the rules. Proof that STRs are operating without a license is difficult. You should require that if an owner turns in their permit they should provide proof that they are living in the unit or proof they have rented it long term.
3. 110.319.15(c) Noise Standards should be amended to require all STRs to provide a noise management plan—not just those with violations.

4. 110.319.15(d) Trash standards fail to address HOAs with commercial trash bins. TVA doesn't allow individual bear boxes. Please see the photos we provided of trash piled up on our roadways by STR renters and cleaners. Also we have had 2 dumpster fires caused by STR renters putting hot coals in our bins. You don't address this problem.
5. 110.319.20(c)(13) and 1101.319.35. Additional Safety Standards. You should add new language that requires defensible space reviews annually by NLTFPD or the applicable fire district. The cost should be fully borne by the STR owner and payable to the Fire Dept. Don't allow self-certification – your enforcement officer, Steve Oriol, can inform you of the number of falsified claims he has received from owners .
6. 110.319.30 Enforcement. We support language that when a sale occurs the STR license must be relinquished and the new owner must apply de novo. This should also apply when the ownership changes without a sale—such as from an individual to an LLC.
7. 110.319.30(c) We agree that a violation issued by IVGID and sheriffs should count. One of my neighbors used to work for Vacasa as an STR manager and describes numerous times she has had to call the police at night because the renters refused to stop their illegal activities and the STR owner didn't answer phone calls at 1:00 AM.
8. 110.319.35(a) delete the self-certification language and require annual Bldg Division and Defensible space reviews. These houses get trashed and needles and debris pile up quickly. Trying to get propane tanks off the decks has been a problem for our HOA. Too much is at stake for the safety of our community to not require annual reviews. Also you should not eliminate the notary requirement. As your enforcement officer will attest, there are too many examples of STR owners not telling the truth—i.e. such as how many parking spaces they have.
9. 110.319.40(b) we concur with this change. We have an example at 1359 Zurich and 1329 Thurgau of construction without a building permit.
10. 110.319.40(c) Grounds for automatic revocation should be tightened to 3 violations in 2 years. Multiple violations during a single inspection should each count as one violation.

In addition to the above, we request that at least 2 full time enforcement officers be assigned to Incline Village, where an estimated 700 of the 900 STRs are located. We also ask that the property tax of STRs be increased to reflect the fact they are operating as commercial entities. We would encourage the County to place a moratorium on STR permits until you study in detail the impact of workforce housing. The realtors are wrong that there is no impact. Corporations that operate these STRs are not using them for family holidays. Finally, we again ask that the County put a cap on STR permits—similar to what the other counties surrounding Lake Tahoe have done.

Thank you.

Linda L. Smith

On behalf of Concerned Neighbors of Tyrolian Village

**From:** [Stephanie Lundstrom](#)  
**To:** [Lloyd, Trevor](#); [Oriol, Steven R.](#); [Stark, Katherine](#)  
**Subject:** Relying on Assessor Data to Determine Discounted STR Fees  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 1:57:08 PM

---

[**NOTICE:** This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on **links** or open **attachments** unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Hello,

Thank you for putting on another STR Workshop yesterday.

I just wanted to follow up with an idea Trevor mentioned at the end of the meeting. This was regarding using the assessor data to determine if a STR owner was renting out their primary residence and may qualify for a discounted permit fee. I agree, there are people in our community that could benefit from this financial assistance. However, I think by offering a discount you are going to entice people to falsely claim their investment property, vacation home, etc. as their primary residence. We already see this occurring in TVA and that's without the added incentive of a permit discount. It also seems like it would be an administrative burden to research each owner and their actual primary residence.

Using one of the commentators from yesterday as an example, Ryan Jergensen:


His STR unit is 1301 Uri Ct. He lives in California (evidenced by the linked article: [Recall Drive Moves To Oust Two School Board Members | Sunol News | independentnews.com](#) ), yet the assessor's record as of today shows 1301 Uri Ct is listed as "Low Cap Qualified Primary Residence". Under your proposed program would this owner have gotten an erroneous permit discount?

Just something to consider.

Thank you,

Stephanie Lundstrom

Links in the message (1)



**From:** [Stephanie Lundstrom](#)  
**To:** [Lloyd, Trevor](#)  
**Subject:** STR Community Workshops - Considerations  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 15, 2023 8:05:23 AM  
**Attachments:** [image005.png](#)  
[image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)

---

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on **links** or open **attachments** unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Good morning,

For your consideration:

1. Consider making compliance with Annual Backflow Testing a requirement for a STR permit. Or enable IVGID to report noncompliance as a STR violation. For example, the 226 homes in the Tyrolian Village Association are on 1 meter. This summer IVGID threatened to turn off the water to all 226 homes due to some owners not completing their annual backflow testing.
2. Consider making a defensible space inspection an annual requirement and do not allow self-certification. Defensible space requires continuous upkeep and there is currently no incentive for an owner to maintain their defensible space. For example, 2 defensible space inspections for STRs were performed in October for Tyrolian Village. Both FAILED. 1 unit was previously operated as a STR and was recently sold. Obviously, the previous STR owner did not maintain their defensible space once they passed their initial inspection. The other unit, operating as an unpermitted STR since December of '22, also failed their defensible space inspection. These examples highlight the need for the fire department to annually conduct defensible space inspections. Many of Washoe County's STRs are located in the most extreme fire risk areas in the entire nation.
3. Consider putting a cap on STRs similar to what other counties around Lake Tahoe have done. No one wants to live next to an STR. Having an unfettered amount, will ultimately impact property values and encourage owners to buy in other counties. Consider benchmarking other wealthy vacation spots, such as Vail and Nantucket, to learn what strategies they are using to ease their affordable housing crunch.
4. Consider adding more enforcement officers and providing enforcement on the weekends. Increase the fines, so they are more impactful.
5. Consider increasing the property tax rate on STRs to pay for more enforcement officers and defensible space inspections. Also, consider coordinating with the Assessor's office to ensure properties with STR permits are designated as "Rental High Cap" vs "Low Cap Qualified Primary Residence". There is an exuberant amount of STR owners living out of state yet claiming their STR as their primary residence. This loss in tax revenue could be collected retroactively.

Kind regards,

Stephanie Lundstrom

On Tuesday, November 14, 2023 at 08:15:58 AM PST, Lloyd, Trevor <[tlloyd@washoecounty.gov](mailto:tlloyd@washoecounty.gov)> wrote:

Yes, you can use either the google form link or send me the email directly.



**Trevor Lloyd**

**Planning Manager, Planning & Building Division | Community Services Department**

[tlloyd@washoecounty.gov](mailto:tlloyd@washoecounty.gov) | Direct Line: 775.328.3617

My working hours: Monday-Friday 8:00am to 5:00pm

Visit us first online: [www.washoecounty.gov/csd](http://www.washoecounty.gov/csd)

Planning Division: 775.328.6100 | [Planning@washoecounty.gov](mailto:Planning@washoecounty.gov)

CSD Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:00am to 4:00pm

1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, NV 89512





Have some kudos to share about a Community Services Department employee or experience?

[Submit a Nomination](#)

---

**From:** Stephanie Lundstrom <slundstromct@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 13, 2023 4:20 PM  
**To:** Lloyd, Trevor <TLloyd@washoecounty.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: STR Community Workshops

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Thanks Trevor, the link works.

Is it possible to provide a written public comment beforehand?

Thank you,

Stephanie

On Monday, November 13, 2023 at 04:09:48 PM PST, Lloyd, Trevor <tlloyd@washoecounty.gov> wrote:

Hello Stephanie,

Please try the following link: [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](#). Please let me know if you are unable to access the STR workshop information using this link.



**Trevor Lloyd**

**Planning Manager, Planning & Building Division | Community Services Department**

[tlloyd@washoecounty.gov](mailto:tlloyd@washoecounty.gov) | Direct Line: 775.328.3617

My working hours: Monday-Friday 8:00am to 5:00pm

Visit us first online: [www.washoecounty.gov/csd](http://www.washoecounty.gov/csd)

Planning Division: 775.328.6100 | [Planning@washoecounty.gov](mailto:Planning@washoecounty.gov)

CSD Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:00am to 4:00pm

1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, NV 89512



Have some kudos to share about a Community Services Department employee or experience?

[Submit a Nomination](#)

---

**From:** Stephanie Lundstrom <slundstromct@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 13, 2023 3:21 PM  
**To:** Lloyd, Trevor <TLloyd@washoecounty.gov>  
**Subject:** Fw: STR Community Workshops

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Washoe County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Hello,

I'm having an issue with the links below and was hoping you could help. When I click on any of the links, I'm asked to verify my device and enter my email address. When I do, it says an error has occurred. I've tried a few different emails, etc.

I'd like to provide public comment. Is it possible to send me a new link and hopefully that will work?

Appreciate your assistance,

Stephanie Lundstrom


----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Washoe County <[communications@washoecounty.gov](mailto:communications@washoecounty.gov)>

**To:** "[slundstromct@yahoo.com](mailto:slundstromct@yahoo.com)" <[slundstromct@yahoo.com](mailto:slundstromct@yahoo.com)>

**Sent:** Thursday, November 9, 2023 at 01:46:51 PM PST

**Subject:** STR Community Workshops



## STR COMMUNITY WORKSHOPS

You are invited to attend the two following community workshops to discuss possible changes to Washoe County's Short-Term Rentals (STR) regulations. This is your opportunity to review proposed STR changes, ask questions and provide feedback prior to submittal of a formal development application.

**(In Person Workshop)**

**STR Community Workshop Information:**

5:00 p.m., Wednesday November 15, 2023

845 Alder Avenue, Incline Village

or

(Zoom Workshop)

**STR Community Workshop Information:**

4:00 p.m., Thursday November 16, 2023

<https://washoecounty-gov.zoom.us/j/97078862795>

**Workshop Description:**


The proposed amendments will include necessary code changes to help streamline and clarify permitting and enforcement processes for short term rentals in unincorporated Washoe County.


The proposed changes may include updating application submittal requirements for STR renewals, codifying a process to require a new STR permit application when a property is sold, when an active STR permit must be relinquished, clarifying certain other authorities may issue violations/citations, clarifying waiting periods for revoked permits, updating code references, identifying grace periods for permit renewals, clarifying suspension or revocation criteria when work is completed without a permit, allowing for updated renewal dates with prorated permit fees, reducing appeal periods for stop activity and potential increasing fines for certain violations.

This is not a public hearing, and public officials will not be present. If you have questions regarding meeting requirements, please contact the Washoe County Staff Planner for the project: Trevor Lloyd, 775.328.3617, [tlloyd@washoecounty.gov](mailto:tlloyd@washoecounty.gov)

To review information about community Workshops, please visit: <https://neighborhood-washoe.hub.arcgis.com/> and to see a list of the proposed amendments please visit the Washoe County STR website: [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](#)

If you wish to provide a comment, please provide to the following link: [https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSdKZlxP2ZwzzcQwYpgn\\_dGtehquTg0XrkIACrpPFmkkPS8ryQ/viewform?usp=sf\\_link](https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSdKZlxP2ZwzzcQwYpgn_dGtehquTg0XrkIACrpPFmkkPS8ryQ/viewform?usp=sf_link)

 [Share](#)


 [Tweet](#)

 [Forward](#)

 Facebook

 Twitter

 Instagram

 [YouTube](#)

 [Web](#)

*Copyright © 2023 Washoe County. All rights reserved.*

1001 E 9th St. Reno, NV 89512

Want to change how you receive these emails?  
You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).

|

[John Eppolito](#)  
[Incline Village/Crystal Bay](#) •

Edited 20 Sep

•

There have been a lot of posts about short term rentals on this page. Here's what I learned last year. 3/05/2022 Short Term Rentals (STR's) = Vacation Home Rentals (VHR's) I've been selling real estate in Lake Tahoe for over 24 years. The real estate market has changed more since COVID-19 than it did in the first 22 years I sold real estate. STR's/VHR's are typically rentals for less than 30 days. With the growing number of STR's/VHR's in the Tahoe Basin different counties, towns, and condo complexes, are dealing with STR's/VHR's differently. The information below is by no means everything you need to know about STR's/VHR's in the Tahoe Basin but it's a place to start. WASHOE COUNTY In the unincorporated areas of Washoe County, which include Incline Village and Crystal Bay you simply have to jump through the hoops and pay the fees to obtain a STR Permit. Click here to find step by step instructions. PLACER COUNTY On 2/08/2022 Placer County announced they will limit the number STR Permits to 3,900. TOWN OF TRUCKEE On the town's website they provided an update on 2/17/2022, that states "We currently do not have a wait list..." DOUGLAS COUNTY Updated 9/20/23 - Douglas County is accepting new permit applications for unconstrained neighborhoods ONLY as of June 15, 2023 until the total permit cap of 600 is reached. In the event the total permit cap is reached, any new permit applications already in the review process will then have to be placed on the waitlist. EL DORADO COUNTY According to their website: "As of Spring 2021, VHR Permit Applications reached the 900 cap in the Lake Tahoe Basin." If you do not have a VHR Permit but want one you can fill out and submit this application to be on the "wait list." CITY OF SOUTH LAKE TAHOE New VHR permits will only be issued in "non-residential" areas. Here's the application. INDIVIDUAL CONDO COMPLEXES Some condo complex have not allowed STR's for years, and others have recently banned them. Many other condo complexes have been dealing with the issues of STR's for a while. This is Reno wrote an article titled: Tahoe areas except Incline Village crack down on vacation rentals.

<https://thisisreno.com/2021/10/tahoe-areas-except-incline-village-crack-down-on-vacation-rentals/>

My best guess is there will be more lawsuits around STR's/VHR's in the Tahoe Basin; even if there aren't additional lawsuits the information above will change over time.

[\*\*\*Tahoe areas except Incline Village crack down on vacation rentals\*\*\*](#)

[thisisreno.com](#)

56 Comments

Share

[Dan Zahm](#)

[Tyrolian Village](#) •

19 Sep

•

STR's will destroy our residential neighborhoods if Washoe County continues to issue permits for them and then does nothing to enforce their own rules. A STR' is a commercial use as a MINI HOTEL that does not

belong in a residential zone. Douglas County permits them only in commercial zones. 94% of the STR's in Washoe County are in IV/CB because there are caps on all the other counties. Our only option in our Tyrolian Village is to amend our CC&R's to cap STR's. Almost all sales here last year were for STR's. It's to the point now that STR owners tried to take control of our BOD!

**Kathie J.**

**Incline Village/Crystal Bay • 18 Sep**

Short term rental (with no certified property mgr as per Washoe County records) with garbage problem. Yes, reported it on Sunday to the STR complaint number

(775) 277-6701

. 477 1st Green. Sad.

<https://thisisreno.com/2021/10/tahoe-areas-except-incline-village-crack-down-on-vacation-rentals/>

## **Tahoe areas except Incline Village crack down on vacation rentals**

By: [ThisIsReno](#)

Date:

October 19, 2021

You can't rent a vacation home in residential areas of South Lake Tahoe. The California city allows short-term rentals (STRs) only in designated tourist areas.

Short-term rentals in nearby Douglas County are capped at 600, except for those also occupied by the owner.

And in Placer County on the California side of the lake, a 45-day moratorium imposed in July on new STRs to "address an unprecedented and growing housing crisis in the region" has been extended to March 2022, citing a "current and immediate threat to the public health, safety, and welfare associated with proliferation of STRs."

By late July, Placer County had approved 2,383 STR units for the year. Vacation rentals account for "about 15% of the total 15,747 housing units" in the eastern part of the county, "where the median price of a home more than doubled from \$660,000 in April 2020 to \$1,342,000 in April 2021," according to the [county's website](#).

"The housing issues in our community have dramatically escalated, and investments in homes for use as short-term rentals have contributed to inflating property values beyond the reach of local workers to afford," said District 5 Supervisor Cindy Gustafson in a release. "We need a time out to study this and really understand what is going on to improve our short-term rental ordinance."

Some residents of Nevada's Incline Village fear more stringent regulations in neighboring areas will drive more demand for STRs their way.

In 2020, Washoe County estimated 90% of vacation rentals in the unincorporated county were in Incline Village/Crystal Bay.

**“STRs represent approximately 12.5% of housing stock in Incline Village/Crystal Bay,”** the county said in a presentation.

The median price of a single family home in Incline Village is \$1.9 million. It topped out at \$2.45 million in April, the month after Washoe County approved its STR ordinance.

Since then, Washoe County has permitted fewer than half of the Incline Village and Crystal Bay short-term rentals currently advertised on Airbnb and other vacation rental platforms, according to data provided by the county.

“Right now we are at 790 advertised, but the other day it was 810 so it fluctuates,” says Mojra Hauenstein, Division Director of Planning and Building of rental units reported to Washoe County by Host Compliance, a vendor that tracks online STR ads.

Of the roughly 800 units advertised on Airbnb, VRBO and other sites as Incline Village/Crystal Bay vacation rentals, the county has permitted 348 properties to operate as STRs, Haunstein says.

County spokeswoman Bethany Drysdale was unable to say how much the county has collected in fines against violators since passing the ordinance in March.

A workshop is scheduled for Tuesday to gather input from the public, but the county doesn’t intend to significantly change its laws, according to Hauenstein.

“In January we are going to the Board for direction and clarification. We are not going to change the ordinance itself,” she said in a phone interview. “Substantively, it’s just minor. I hate to say the word ‘tweaks’, but that’s really what it is.”

**“I think it’s trying to appease us in some way because they already know the outcome,”** says Dr. Staci Baker, a Tahoe veterinarian, of the workshop. Baker, a wildlife advocate, says vacationers routinely ignore warnings and procedures for safeguarding food and trash, especially from bears, who are then deemed as “too friendly” and as a result, are euthanized by Nevada wildlife officials.

**Washoe County’s ordinance places no limitations on the number or density of vacation rentals.**

A 96-unit condominium complex at 123 Juanita has 26 permitted STRs, according to county records. By contrast, the City of Henderson in Southern Nevada limits the STRs in one complex to 25% of the units.

Year-round Incline Village resident Judith Miller doesn’t want a ban of STRs, but she’d like to see restrictions on non-owner occupied rentals, such as those imposed by Douglas County. She thinks Washoe County is attempting to placate residents by holding a workshop.

“I think the fact that they’re not going to make any substantial changes after promising the public that they were going to do that, this is kind of a feel good exercise, a dog and pony show,” says Miller, a former member

of the community advisory board who says she's been asking the county to limit STRs for seven years. "This is what I got."

"The intent of public input is to get an idea of how the ordinance is working since it is brand new, provide that feedback to commissioners, and then receive further direction from them before proposing any sort of changes, if that is even necessary given the feedback," says Washoe County spokeswoman Drysdale. "We would be remiss to NOT engage residents along the way."

"They truly see this little sliver of Washoe County as a cash cow, and any way that they can derive income, bonus for them," says Incline resident Pamela Tsigdinos, who fears the county will lift current occupancy requirements imposed on vacation rentals.

Tsigdinos says the county is placing residents and visitors in danger. "We couldn't evacuate if we had to. We don't even get the funds that come from [transient occupancy taxes](#). It's funding some Reno bond measure for a bowling Hall of Fame."

[Nevada Current](#) is part of States Newsroom, a network of news bureaus supported by grants and a coalition of donors as a 501c(3) public charity. Nevada Current maintains editorial independence. Contact Editor Hugh Jackson for questions: [info@nevadacurrent.com](mailto:info@nevadacurrent.com). Follow Nevada Current on [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#).



# TAHOE DAILY Tribune

Your news. Your Tahoe. [tahoe-daily-tribune.com](http://tahoe-daily-tribune.com)

Vol. 64 • No. 75 | Serving Lake Tahoe | November 24 - 30, 2023 | FREE



## Opinion

14 | Friday, November 24, 2023 | Tahoe Daily Tribune

### Basin-wide short-term rental caps must be part of TRPA's housing plan

Alex Tsigdino

A family of four in the San Francisco Bay Area, Los Angeles, Europe, Asia, or elsewhere planning a vacation in the Tahoe basin can choose from more than 5,000 licensed short-term rental (STR) listings and likely many more that are unlicensed. Yet a family of four seeking a long-term rental might be lucky to find a few listings—most unaffordable as demand dwarfs supply.

Contrary to claims from

the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), the Tahoe basin does not have a dire shortage of housing units. What it does have is a shortage of housing units available to residents who seek to live and work here. The TRPA, a federally and bi-state-funded planning body originally formed to protect Lake Tahoe and its surrounding environment, could go far in remedying this housing imbalance by reversing an amendment it put in place in March 2004.

It legitimized single and multiple family dwellings as short-term or vacation rentals despite being widely recognized as business uses.

TRPA then punted to counties and permitted them to declare STRs “a residential use,” violating previous long-standing local residential zoning and CC&Rs so counties could collect transient occupancy (TOT) tax. This tax collection merely shifted from basin hotels to residential neighborhoods as STRs poach customers,

contributing to some Tahoe lodging establishments losing or going out of business.

A proliferation of STRs also reset the housing market fueling greater competition for the already built housing stock. Younger Tahoe residents struggle to find housing. Aaron Vanderbilt noted in a public comment: STR ordinances should not favor or “be for those trying to keep a second, third, fourth, etc.

TSIGDINO, 30



PROVIDED / ALEX TSIGDINOS

Tahoe local Aaron Vanderpool at Washoe County's latest STR ordinance workshop at the Incline library.

## TSIGDINO

From page 14

vacation home when so many can't even afford a single home. There is an affordable housing crisis. It is insulting to allow STRs as they currently exist while we have this crisis.”

Washoe county, for example, has covered the annual STR program shortfall – about \$259,000 – from its general fund. So, taxpayers in Washoe county currently subsidize STR owners' business models.

In defense of STRs, some TRPA board members continue to argue that “Tahoe has always had vacation rentals.” True, but times have changed. Wide-reaching online platforms turbocharged the STR business advertising not just locally, but internationally starting in 2007. On the strength of “Destination Marketing” initiatives boosted by STR companies, Tahoe visitor numbers soared from two million

in 2004 to 25 million a year in recent years.

To put that number in perspective, the 207,000-acre Tahoe basin now gets two times more visitors than America's most visited national park, the 522,419-acre Great Smoky Mountains National Park. At the behest of developers and non-profits funded to write up reports that benefit business interests, TRPA is poised to set aside its commitment to protecting the lake, wildlife habitats, and scenic quality among other conservation efforts.

On December 13, the TRPA staff and Regional Plan Implementation Committee will recommend approval of buildings 65' in height inside town centers, 53' in height anywhere there is multi-family zoning, unlimited density, 100% land coverage and no required parking if there is deed restricted housing. (Enforcement of deed restrictions is notoriously lacking.)

Further, there is no income cap on “achievable” deed restricted housing. Just self-report you work in the area 30 hours a week no matter how much money you earn. How does that help low-income service workers?

These draconian amendments serve developers plain and simple. Ironically, TRPA, once the Basin's environmental watchdog, is advancing these aggressive amendments without undertaking detailed environmental analysis to gauge the cumulative impacts on traffic, infrastructure, and public safety (wildfire risk and evacuation). Instead, TRPA is employing its often-used Initial Environmental Checklist that flows from its 2012 Regional Plan Update's Environmental Impact Study. Incredibly, it lists almost every environmental factor tied to these new amendments as having “no impacts.”

Noticeably absent in TRPA's

proposed amendments are basin-wide STR limits. This would make an immediate improvement in housing availability. The experience in South Lake with Measure T is that 10-15% of STRs have so far converted to long-term rentals – a substantial percentage. Back of the envelope math tells us a similar measure, basin-wide, would yield 500 to 1,000 housing units. The latter number exceeds the housing target set by TRPA's amendments. And this in a matter of months not years without moving dirt, tearing down and forever altering town centers, providing tax incentives or public subsidies, or requiring costly changes to infrastructure. This is low-hanging fruit.

Hundreds of communities nationwide have already capped or restricted STRs, including in Airbnb's corporate home of San Francisco where only permanent residents are allowed to be STR hosts.

I would wager the vast majority

of Tahoe residents – particularly those not associated with commercial development, the real estate business or their lobbyists and attorneys – oppose the proposed plan amendments. If TRPA held a public referendum of Tahoe registered voters it would fail. This is not “NIMBYism.” Concerns about increased population density and increased demands on the Tahoe basin's infrastructure, fragile environment, and public safety (wildfire risk and evacuation) are legitimate.

Write to TRPA ([publiccomment@trpa.gov](mailto:publiccomment@trpa.gov)) and ask that it go back to first principles: Protect the Lake and basin; prioritize housing for use by residents and local workers without forever urbanizing our rural town centers.

If TRPA is serious about addressing workforce housing it must act on STRs, regardless of any development or redevelopment initiatives it pursues.

**From:** [rondatycer@aol.com](mailto:rondatycer@aol.com)  
**To:** [Lloyd, Trevor](#); [Solaro, David](#); [Brown, Eric P.](#)  
**Cc:** [Clark, Michael](#); [Herman, Jeanne](#); [Clara Andriola](#); [Hill, Alexis](#); [Garcia, Mariluz C.](#); [Washoe311](#)  
**Subject:** TYCER COMMENT FOR TODAY'S STR MEETING  
**Date:** Thursday, November 16, 2023 3:09:04 PM

---

[**NOTICE:** This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on **links** or open **attachments** unless you are sure the content is safe.]

TO: WASHOE COUNTY PLANNERS

FROM: RONDA TYCER, INCLINE VILLAGE RESIDENT

SUBJECT: PLEASE ACCEPT AS PUBLIC COMMENT

### NOTE RESULTS OF TRPA FLASHVOTE SURVEY ON HOUSING 2023

[Q2](#) (1159 responses by invited)

Which of the following, if any, do you think are the best options to provide more housing in the Tahoe Basin (Choose all that apply)

	Panel	Other	Locals
Apt complexes >10	45%	49.8%	44.9
<b>Small multifamily bldgs.</b>	<b>65.6%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>66.1</b>
Duplex, triplex, fourplex homes	37.4%	52.2%	38.8
Large multifamily bldgs.	15.7%	28.6%	16.8
Tiny homes (ADUs) in residential	23.7%	43.5%	24.4
Modular and mobile home parks	9.4%	18.9%	9.7
Other	21.4%	22.3%	21.7

### COMMENTS TO QUESTION 2

#### SUMMATION:

**N = 72 – Ban/restrict STRs**

N = 27 – Build ADUs

**CONCLUSION:**

IVCB residents want to eliminate or greatly reduce STRs in our village. Whenever given the opportunity to make comments, IVCB residents overwhelmingly comment against STRs.

That Washoe County Commissioners and Planners continue to allow and encourage STRs in IVCB is one of the most frequently repeated reasons IVCB residents want control of our own planning and development.

Sincerely,

Ronda Tycer, PhD  
IVCB 32 Year Resident

**STRs-BAN STRS =**

ADUs-ADUs!

not sure.

We should include "off the hill" housing combined with effective work force transportation.

**STRs-less** STR and ADUs. The cap is already too high. Instead of caps, stop renewals and reclaim rentals

single family homes only on 1/4 acre minimum lot size

**STRs-LIMIT** STRs AND IMPOSE A VACANCY TAX ON 2nd HOMES!

Reno is 45 minutes away. Carson City is 45 minutes away. Bay Area, New York, LA would like that

No more new development only replacement for residential

Unless we start BACKING OFF ON ALL THE NEW RULES AND REGULATIONS NOBODY CAN AFFORD TO BUILD

**STRs-Stop** STRs

**STRs-Vacant** home tax. Second-home and vacation rental regulation

**STRs-Incentives** for long-term rentals for working class. Severely limiting or banning short term rentals

Real estate tax incentives to existing vacant homeowners

**STRs-Depends** on zoning laws. Scale back on STR's

ADUs-Adus

**STRs** - First, ban STRs to open availability of long-term rentals. This is the fastest, lowest-cost fix.

ADUs-Allowing ADU's regardless of coverage so long as BMPs are established on property.

Single family homes, like everyone else!!! We do not want HUGE buildings at North Tahoe.

**STRs**-Eliminate EVERY VHR in an R1 zoned neighborhood. Do it now. TRPA caused this disaster.

all options should be considered, catered to various opportunities and neighborhoods.

Towns around the lake are small. It is called a daily commute, ride share, public transportation.

Commercial zoning to allow workforce housing above, businesses below. 947 Luxury is WRONG!

Transit system similar to Aspen to move workers IN and OUT of the area for work

**STRs**-Finish the large hotels to accommodate more visitors to take the pressure off short term rental

**STRs**-Eliminate Short Term Rentals

**STRs** Eliminate AirBnB's, they kill housing.

Use existing housing

higher wages

None of the above

**STRs** tax the wealthy, tax multiple home ownership and ban STRs.

**STRs** Decrease short term rentals and increase long term rentals with incentives for home owners

Social engineering outside of supply and demand, will predictable lead to unintended consequences

Ski areas should house their employees

ADUs-Increase use of ADUs.

The housing that already exists should be affordable for workers of South lake Tahoe.

Low income/dense housing makes employees slaves & corporations rich (can keep lower salaries).

**STRs** -anything that doesn't involve cutting down more trees; maybe getting rid of STRs

**STRs** Open up housing by banning STRs.

Deed-restriction neighborhoods for locals!

**STRs** -WAY fewer STRs!!! They're small businesses, not residences.

**STRs** -Limit short term rentals

ADUs-accessory dwelling units or partitioned additions to existing homes.

**STRs** - AirBnB strictly enforce STRs around the entire basin

**-STRs** Like in El Dorado Co. strictly limit STRs, which will drive down housing costs!

**STRs** -Less vacation rentals. Those houses can be used for long term rentals.

None of these options are suitable. Think alpine environment, not flatland.

ADUs-Tiny home communities in county owned spaces, commercial zoning, residential multi family zoning.

ToT funded supplemental rent payments

Live in Carson and commute

ADUs-ADUs in our backyard for family and offices to work from home

**STRs** -Eliminate STR's

**STRs** - Limits on short term rentals

**STRs**-The housing is there. It's just been turned into 3900 little hotels (STRs)

**STRs**-Ban Airbnb and vacation rentals.

The " basin " can't support More housing for the safety of emergency evacuation & environment impact

**STRs**-Single family homes, removing some of the numerous VHRs and Air BnBs to be used for local housing.

Further ease restrictions and provide incentives for ADU units. It's still extremely complicated

**STRs**-Fewer short-term rentals would solve all these problems!

**STRs**-Limit vacation rentals

I feel like we have a lot of vacant homes that could be utilized before building new ones.

repurposing of old lodges around the lake that could be rebuilt for resort employee housing

ADU - construction in residential areas.

**STRs**-Incentives to non-resident homeowners to rent to long-term instead of STRs.

ADUs- Tiny homes for homeless community

Mixed-use buildings in town centers

Only allow affordable housing units. Do not mix with Luxury or visitor housing.

**STRs**-Any real solution has to address market distortions in housing caused by short term rentals

Limit landlords and other individuals from purchasing second homes in our area.

Employers should provide transportation or, in the case of hotels and ski resorts, on-site housing

live and work where you can afford to live and work

Apartments less than 30 units- 2 story

**STRs**-None of the above. Limit VHRs. Reward long-term rentals. Don't spread into residential areas more.

Unless we start BACKING OFF ON ALL THE NEW RULES AND REGULATIONS NOBODY CAN AFFORD TO BUILD

**STRs**-mixed use with smaller condos in town centers that can't be short term rentals

**STRs**-Do not allow short term rentals and monitor rent prices

**STRs**-Reduce number of STRs

supply and demand

**STRs**-Reduce STR/VHR

ADU's

Managed long term campgrounds for van lifers

I believe the hotels, ski areas and other large businesses should provide housing

Affordable housing for teachers, nurses, EMTs, etc. Should be top priority.

None

Commuting. Low cost housing is not a right.

**STRs**-Reduction in short term rentals

ADUs

rooms for rent in shared homes; homes/houses for rent

apartments in the town center walking distance to hotels/casinos and majority of the business

single family homes only on 1/4 acre minimum lot size

Rent control

long term campgrounds

Combo of units that don't pack it in with unlimited density and huge land coverage.

mixed use / apts over stores

tax vacant second homes

Why do we need to build housing? Why can't they commute in?

Any of these, but without the income limits of low income housing. There are local teachers, nurses

Any all kinds of multi family dwellings... preferably near transit

**STRs**-End STRs! STRs are often in homes/condos that would otherwise be used for workforce housing.

not needed

ADU's - in residential areas

we do not need to build more, we need to increase wages so workers can afford to live in hime here!

Multi-family complexes 20 unit or more in and out of town centers

Pay should be higher to take into consideration the commute, but I do m

small single family homes out in the county like meyers

**STRs**-Close down short-term rentals so those dwellings go back onto the long-term rental market!

**STRs**-Eliminate STR homes

**STRs**-Normal cabins and residences that are affordable because the county cuts way back on VHRs.

Modular home at new sites not within existing residential areas.

New housing in unfashionable areas at higher elevation

Whatever it takes to get affordable workforce housing

Build a bunch of affordable apartments in the big hole. Convert the Hard Rock into apartments.

**STRs.** - Better utilize the current supply. Create an incentive for homeowners to rent to fulltime locals

Ski areas should provide on-site dorm type housing for their workers, not more expensive condos

Do not ask taxpayers to subsidize the payroll of \$multi-billion dollar corporations.

**STRs**-Regulate STR and build nothing larger than small scale housing in my neighborhood

none we don't need more people in the Tahoe basin

Truly affordable housing for affordable and low income. Large employers should provide in site

ADUs - Allow auxiliary dwelling units on existing single family home parcels.

the markerplace should decide. i have no capability to live in Montecito nor do i expect housing t

ADUs - ALL OF THE ABOVE!?we need apts houses tiny houses mobile homes modular homes

NO apartment bldgs!!!

Low income housing

Mixed use development with multistory apartments above businesses.

ADUs- Additionally, small units added to existing properties

trains and buses from Reno

stop development to reduce impacts, less people required to support tourist trade

ADUs -with an option for converting existing spaces and not needing to pay \$70,000+ for one

**STRs-Reduce the number of STRs**

Any mix of the above that suit the area. NO citified construction. This is mountain NOT city.

all ideas

Real "affordable housing". We had enough empty promises. Where is the affordable housing??

Do not raise building height

Build only needed, subsidized affordable housing units (not mixed with luxury or visitor units).

Washoe ran out the working people w/ their tax mess & now wants to "fix it". Who's kidding whom?

All of the above

No more new development only replacement for residential

Tax vacant homes

Offer credits to homeowners to update, repair rehabilitate existing homes that are not being used

ADUs- Allow more "granny units"

If they can't figure out how to make a living in Tahoe, they shouldn't live here

Need to use the concept of "Specific Plan" for placement of affordable housing, separate from visitor

ADUs - Streamlined ADUs

Employee housing provided on site for new development, rental housing within current heights

a mix of all of these

This is a complex issue. Building more is questionable.

all of the above

Live and work where they can afford.

Incentivize building of small "starter" homes for purchase

Don't build subsidized housing in existing residential areas. It is unfair to existing homeowners

Small patio homes for families .

**STRs-Limit the number of short term rentals. They did it in South Shore and now there's all these houses**

**STRs-less STR and ADUs. The cap is already too high. Instead of caps, stop renewals and reclaim rentals**

In law units in residential homes that owners can rent long term

Converted garages or 2-story garages with ADU's above or below.

**STRs-Stop short term rentals, do not destroy Tahoe so low income earners can live close.**



STRs-Limit Airbnb. Allow mother-in-law units. We can't handle the traffic from large apartment buildings  
affordable homes relative to the wages in town.

**STRs-Banning Short Term Rentals**

**STRs-Affordable houses that cannot be used as STR.**

**STRs-Ban short term rentals.** We have plenty of additional tourist accommodations currently in the works.

Anything that will fill this desperate need

**STRs-Ban short term rentals or regulate the heck out of them.** Offer tax breaks for long-term leases

ADUs- Accessory dwelling unit.

ADUs- Allow relatively easy building for current homes to add additional units on their property.

use existing available space above commercial areas. May need code changes, but the space is available

Proper management of current housing supply

ADUs-I can't recall if the codes have changed to allow for ADUs above a garage

**STRs-Stop STRs**

**STRs-Better regulations to limit vacation rentals**

Realistic mix consisting of acceptable high density housing outside of sensitive areas?

**STRs-More STR restrictions.** Requirement that each home have at least one full time resident.

None of above

all of above

trying to limit mutli-million dollar condos. In the Stateline, NV area that is majority

I have seen affordable housing built, and after a certain amount of time they seem to fall out!

**STRs-Limit short term rentals**

Repurpose buildings that may not be occupied into housing units.

**STRs-Stop VRBOs and other type of short term rentals DO NOT BUILD TO ACCOMMODATE**

allow homeowners to build ADUs , quit the restrictions

No preference

ADUs - Infill development, renovating existing structures, and accessory dwelling units (ADUs)

**STRs-smaller homes, less vacation homes, no VHRs**

Anything that will be affordable based on local minimum wages

not sure.

**STRs-** stop STRs, use as as long term rentals

**STRs-**Stop the greed short term of VHR. Allow people to rent/lease long term

Limiting the amount of empty homes. Mandatory occupation.

Don't let big companies tear down small houses to build mansions

None. Let the private market figure it out. A solution does not need or require government intervention

None

**STRs-LIMIT SHORT TERM RENTALS SO WORKERS CAN GET BACK THE HOUSING THEY HAVE LOST TO STRs-strS**

Nothing without an comprehensive environment and safety impact study,

**STRs-**Restrict AirBNB and STRs drastically

there are too many homes all ready . believe it or not skyland was affordable housing by Bill Har.

Provide incentives for absentee owners to rent long-term to local employees (like in Truckee)

I do not believe any of the above six would be good for the Tahoe Area.

FREE MARKET applies only

Build a bunch of affordable apartments in the big hole. Convert the Hard Rock into apartments.

**STRs-**Reduce STR inventory (i.e., permits) and incentivize long-term

Utilize existing empty buildings to create athletically pleasing apartments.

I am not sure why we are having this discussion. Many condos have become apartments.

Vacancy tax on houses sitting vacant 6 months per year or more

Force corporations and businesses to pay wages that support living here.

Rebuild commercial retail buildings with apartments above

Instead of huge developments for the wealthy with no thought of housing for workers or low income

I have no idea

I do NOT agree with large multi family developments that degrade the environment and mountain character

Tahoe should not end up as an urban sprawl area

transient housing for seasonal workers. Bunkhouses.

The problem is that NO new construction will be affordable.

**STRs-Limit STRs**

**STRs-**Get the broken down resorts running, an eliminate vacation rentals so people can go back to long term

ADUs - allow ADU's in Washoe and Douglas County

None of the above

using the current housing stock more wisely- must be el dorado fulltime resident to own

**STRs-LIMIT STRs AND IMPOSE A VACANCY TAX ON 2nd HOMES!**

None but if mandatory, housing to be built in secluded areas away from non public housing and town.

**STRs-** get local hotels finished to take the demand off STR's so those properties can go to long term

Single homes at a price that locals can afford to purchase.

ADUs Encourage second units in single family residential area either as separate structures or units with

We need fire / evacuation saftey first and formost. Stop development!

ADUs- that are NOT deed restricted. Homeowners do NOT want deed restricted

Offer incentives for first time home buyers and people who work in the basin. Have work address in

Offer transportation to basin employees.

we don't need any more housing

None of the above

**STRs-**Severely restrict STRs. Opening up more long term rentals Respecting neighborhoods

ADUs -Easier and cheaper process to build ADUs

ADUs - allow for more cottages and mother-in-law units

Co-housing projects

Condos/Apartments/ living for couples and dogs

Much improved "workforce" transportation in and out of the basin

**STRs-Limit STRs**

**STRs-**We have more than enough housing if we utilize the housing inventory used by STRs and 2nd homes.

Apartment complexes 6-10 NOT near town center.

**STRs-**Eliminate short term rentals in the Tahoe Basin.

Multiperson dwellings where several families share a common kitchen, dining room and living room.

Mixed use buildings with apartments over shops

Designated Employee hookups for vans and campers at resorts for workers

ADUs -Additional dwelling units allowed on large lots

ADUs!

We should include "off the hill" housing combined with effective work force transportation.

Reno is 45 minutes away. Carson City is 45 minutes away. Bay Area, New York, LA would like that

Affordable single family homes in residential areas

Given emergency egress limitations, no additional housing or population is wise.

Commute

Shop-houses or maisonette style developments. See incline village

# Washoe County STR Amendments

Proposed Changes to Washoe County STR Regulations

## **BACKGROUND**

In August 2023, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) identified several necessary code changes that were needed to help streamline and clarify the STR permitting process. Additionally, the BCC directed the planning staff to hold public workshops and gather public input on the proposed changes to the STR regulations.

Please review the proposed draft changes at [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](https://washoecounty.gov/development/planning/short-term-rentals/) and provide your thoughts and comments to those proposed changes below.

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

The STR ordinance has resulted in my property parking rights being taking away by my HOA.

I don't want the County involved with any aspect of determining the parking for multi-dwelling homes and should ensure the ordinance CANNOT BE MANIPULATED to take away parking rights that owners have had for decades. The governing body of a multi-dwelling community should be the body that determines the parking and if an owner has an issue with what is reported then they can address it in civil court without the defendants claiming the WCC-319 Ordinance prohibits them from allowing STR use of shared parking. Our community has a hybrid parking model with assigned spots and abundant shared and street parking. The ordinance has failed to treat this model equally as it does for fully assigned or fully shared parking.

Please remove the May 2022 amended language and modify WCC-319 as follows.

Section 110.319.10(d)

For STRs within multi-unit developments, the application must include evidence of the number (and location, if applicable) of parking spaces [DELETE -allocated to] [ADD for or reported for (if applicable)] the unit [DELETE Where parking spaces are unassigned, overflow parking will not count toward the required number of parking spaces;]

The reported parking provided by an HOA is sufficient evidence that should not be contested by the County since the governing board is the legal acting fiduciary for the community. Again, if an owner does not agree with reported parking by the Board then the owner can resolve as a civil matter with the HOA and does not need to involve the County.

TRPA also encourages shared parking strategies and that overbroad language in the statute like the subject clause could give an overzealous anti-STR HOA board a hook to persecute STR owners and deprive them of property rights.

Thank you for your consideration  
Kelly Coffey

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

The STR ordinance has resulted in my property parking rights being taken away by my HOA. I do not want the County involved with any aspect of determining the parking for multi-dwelling homes and should ensure the ordinance can't be manipulated to take away parking rights that owners have had for decades.

Our community has a hybrid parking model with assigned spots and abundant shared and street parking. The ordinance has failed to treat this model equally as it does for fully assigned or fully shared parking.

Please remove the May 22, 2022 amended language and modify WCC-319 as follows.

Section 110.319.10(d)

For STRs within multi-unit developments, the application must include evidence of the number (and location, if applicable) of parking spaces allocated to for or recorded for the unit

Where parking spaces are unassigned, overflow parking will not count toward the required number of parking spaces;

The reported parking provided by an HOA is sufficient evidence that should not be contested by the County since the governing board is the legal acting fiduciary for the community. Again, if an owner does not agree with reported parking by the Board then the owner can resolve as a civil matter with the HOA and does not need to involve the County.

TRPA also encourages shared parking strategies and that overbroad language in the statute like the subject clause could give an overzealous anti-STR HOA board a hook to persecute STR owners and deprive them of property rights.

Please consider my opinion as a homeowner in Tyrolian Village.

Carlo Ferrarone

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

**Google Forms**

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

Honorable Ms. Hill and all commissioners-

I agree with you--I hope that you and the county will "treat owners and STR renters equally." Currently, respectfully, we are not being treated equally. I am being told that I cannot use my own home with my family because we have more than 4 in our family. Those in my exact situation, neighborhood, etc. who do not have an STR permit can utilize more than one parking spot and use their place with their whole family. STR owners in our HOA are being harassed in every way possible, especially with regard to parking and not being allowed to use the shared parking areas. I am not trying to argue the legalities of the first amendment, right to assemble, but rather a common sense solution, and private property usage. Please consider other language.

I would like to suggest that Washoe County should remove the need for parking letters and parking evidence for multi-unit complexes, and award STR Permits just based on building occupancy. Since most multi-unit complexes are in private areas, the parking enforcement for the multi-unit complex should be a task for the respective HOA's and Washoe county code enforcement should remove itself from verifying and administering this parking compliance. If Washoe county will accept Parking Letters as evidence, then it must ideally institute an appeals process where the homeowner can challenge the parking letter issued by the HOA rather than go through a long Nevada ombudsman ADR/Intervention process.

Or

Please consider allowing our 1 assigned spot and shared parking to allow STR owners to also count a shared parking spot. For a total of 2 possible to be used.

The current way it is written and the AR's and way it is enforced lends to a very real situation where the county and our HOA is currently encouraging harassment by neighbors to limit our parking usage to the 1 assigned spot and not allow my family to use our home.

Please seek creative common-sense ways to have solutions that will help decent, good, law abiding property owners like myself, instead of resulting to policies for the lowest common denominator and limiting the STR and our own family to only one parking spot and 4 occupants when we use our place for personal use, when we have 3 bedrooms, several beds, plenty of space, and many shared parking spaces available at all times of the year.

Please Help us find a solution that helps everyone to enjoy freedoms and property rights with equality and to be treated equally.

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

The STR ordinance has resulted in my property parking rights being taking away by my HOA.

I don't want the County involved with any aspect of determining the parking for multi-dwelling homes and should ensure the ordinance CANNOT BE MANIPULATED to take away parking rights that owners have had for decades. The governing body of a multi-dwelling community should be the body that determines the parking and if an owner has an issue with what is reported then they can address it in civil court without the defendants claiming the WCC-319 Ordinance prohibits them from allowing STR use our shared parking. Our community has a hybrid parking model with assigned spots and abundant shared and street parking. The ordinance has failed to treat this model equally as it does for fully assigned or fully shared parking.

Please remove the May 22, 2022 amended language and modify WCC-319 as follows.

Section 110.319.10(d)

For STRs within multi-unit developments, the application must include evidence of the number (and location, if applicable) of parking spaces allocated to for or recorded for the unit

Where parking spaces are unassigned, overflow parking will not count toward the required number of parking spaces;

The reported parking provided by an HOA is sufficient evidence that should not be contested by the County since the governing board is the legal acting fiduciary for the community. Again, if an owner does not agree with reported parking by the Board then the owner can resolve as a civil matter with the HOA and does not need to involve the County.

TRPA also encourages shared parking strategies and that overbroad language in the statute like the subject clause could give an overzealous anti-STR HOA board a hook to persecute STR owners and deprive them of property rights.

We have owned our multi generational family home since 1978, 45 years and the HOA is now telling us we can only have 1 car when we stay in our own 3 bedroom home per the Washoe County STR rules. Please explain this to us.

Thank you,

Linda Simon, Michiko and George Osako

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

**Google Forms**

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

Hello,

The STR ordinance, while having good intentions, has resulted in property rights being taken away by the Tyrolian Village Property Association. This in particular pertains to parking. It's unfortunate that an HOA has leveraged a County policy to limit the rights of STR property owners despite us paying the same HOA fees and being governed by the same HOA covenants and restrictions. We don't believe this was the intent of the County, yet after seeing the result, the County should make a small amendment that ensures any County permitting guidelines cannot be manipulated to take away any common area property rights that all owners have had for decades. Our community has a hybrid parking model with assigned spots and abundant shared and street parking. The ordinance has failed to treat this model equally as it does for fully assigned or fully shared parking.

Please amend the May 22, 2022 WCC-319 language as follows.

Section 110.319.10(d)

For STRs within multi-unit developments, the application must include evidence of the number (and location, if applicable) of parking spaces for or recorded for the unit. This includes dedicated parking spaces, in addition to common area and/or overflow parking spaces.

The reported parking provided by an HOA is sufficient evidence that should not be contested by the County since the governing board is the legal acting fiduciary for the community.

TRPA also encourages shared parking strategies and that overbroad language in the statute like the subject clause could give an overzealous anti-STR HOA board a hook to harass STR owners and deprive them of property rights.

Thank you for your consideration,

Collin O'Berry

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

**Google Forms**

# Washoe County STR Amendments

Proposed Changes to Washoe County STR Regulations

## BACKGROUND

In August 2023, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) identified several necessary code changes that were needed to help streamline and clarify the STR permitting process. Additionally, the BCC directed the planning staff to hold public workshops and gather public input on the proposed changes to the STR regulations.

Please review the proposed draft changes at [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](https://washoecounty.gov/short-term-rentals/) and provide your thoughts and comments to those proposed changes below.

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

We need a cap on the amount of STRs. Other counties around the lake have done it. Why not Washoe?  
Where are the enforcement officers? One person is not enough.

Because STRs are commercial enterprises, the property tax rate needs to be increased and enforced  
Filing a complaint is much too difficult. The process needs to be easier - and the fees substantially higher.

Thank you, Heather Williams

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Washoe County STR Amendments

Proposed Changes to Washoe County STR Regulations

## BACKGROUND

In August 2023, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) identified several necessary code changes that were needed to help streamline and clarify the STR permitting process. Additionally, the BCC directed the planning staff to hold public workshops and gather public input on the proposed changes to the STR regulations.

Please review the proposed draft changes at [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](https://washoecounty.gov/short-term-rentals/) and provide your thoughts and comments to those proposed changes below.

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

I am not clear on whether Article 319 applies only to the Tahoe Area or all of Washoe County. I am concerned that these changes are specific to the needs of Incline Village. Gerlach is a remote, low income community for which economic development studies have identified increasing tourism as a main area for economic growth. The town of Gerlach has very different needs than Incline Village and should not be lumped in with the same code changes. Some of these regulations are far too stringent for a community like Gerlach.

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Washoe County STR Amendments

Proposed Changes to Washoe County STR Regulations

## BACKGROUND

In August 2023, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) identified several necessary code changes that were needed to help streamline and clarify the STR permitting process. Additionally, the BCC directed the planning staff to hold public workshops and gather public input on the proposed changes to the STR regulations.

Please review the proposed draft changes at [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](https://washoecounty.gov/short-term-rentals/) and provide your thoughts and comments to those proposed changes below.

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

I strongly oppose any language that allows for ADUs in Washoe-Tahoe to be used as an STR --- either as the first or the second STR on the property. WC AND TRPA are advocating for ADUs as a means to increase workforce housing. Language such as this below is inconsistent. ADUs in CA are not allowed to be used as STRs. Washoe Tahoe needs the same language to ensure that any ADU development does not end up (1) further "hotelifying" our residential neighborhoods; and (2) creating even more need for an expanded workforce owing to increased visitation.

(6) Only one STR will be permitted per parcel, with the exception that a second STR may be allowed if established within a legally permitted attached or detached accessory dwelling. The STR must be a legally permitted, permanent, habitable dwelling unit (for example, no RVs, boats, detached garages, etc. to be used as an STR).

In addition, Washoe Tahoe needs a CAP on STRs.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

November 15, 2023

Dear Washoe County Commissioners,

Thank you for considering amendments to the STR regulations in Washoe County and providing an opportunity for community input. As you consider changes, please consider adding the following:

Place a cap on STRs similar to what other counties have done around Lake Tahoe.

Add more enforcement officers. There is only one full-time person right now and clearly more are needed to adequately enforce the regulations. Otherwise STR owners know they can get away with violations and nothing will be done.

Increase the property tax rate on STRs. Even though STRs are operating in residential neighborhoods, they are commercial operations. They should be taxed at a different rate than homeowners who live in and/or use their property for themselves.

Make it easier to file a complaint against an STR and significantly increase the fines so they are meaningful.

Again, thank you for providing an opportunity for community input on regulation changes. I appreciate your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mary Lou Kennedy,

1487 Tirol Drive, Incline Village, NV 89451

[mlkennedy1@charter.net](mailto:mlkennedy1@charter.net)

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

**Google Forms**

# Washoe County STR Amendments

Proposed Changes to Washoe County STR Regulations

## BACKGROUND

In August 2023, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) identified several necessary code changes that were needed to help streamline and clarify the STR permitting process. Additionally, the BCC directed the planning staff to hold public workshops and gather public input on the proposed changes to the STR regulations.

Please review the proposed draft changes at [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](https://washoecounty.gov/short-term-rentals/) and provide your thoughts and comments to those proposed changes below.

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

If this is a "workshop" that won't allow for public comment, why bother to notify us if we cannot participate? You've obviously made up your minds without consideration of the mountains of public comments already provided. Yet I will try again: Please put a cap on STRs for Incline and Crystal Bay. We're drowning in STRs and facing more to come given the stricter STR requirements in all other Tahoe counties. Thank you.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Washoe County STR Amendments

Proposed Changes to Washoe County STR Regulations

## BACKGROUND

In August 2023, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) identified several necessary code changes that were needed to help streamline and clarify the STR permitting process. Additionally, the BCC directed the planning staff to hold public workshops and gather public input on the proposed changes to the STR regulations.

Please review the proposed draft changes at [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](https://washoecounty.gov/ShortTermRentals) and provide your thoughts and comments to those proposed changes below.

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

The hotel lobbyist won, Airbnb lost, and I am no longer in a short-term rental with Airbnb; it is no use for me to attend a meeting.

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



# Washoe County STR Amendments

Proposed Changes to Washoe County STR Regulations

## BACKGROUND

In August 2023, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) identified several necessary code changes that were needed to help streamline and clarify the STR permitting process. Additionally, the BCC directed the planning staff to hold public workshops and gather public input on the proposed changes to the STR regulations.

Please review the proposed draft changes at [Short Term Rentals \(washoecounty.gov\)](https://washoecounty.gov/short-term-rentals/) and provide your thoughts and comments to those proposed changes below.

What are your thoughts relating to the proposed STR code changes.

STR owners are considered 2nd class homeowners by Washoe county and HOA  
only vacation tenants subject to myriad of rWashoe and HOA restrictions  
limited occupancy  
no pets  
minimum stay  
cannot use overflow parking 98 spaces  
extra \$500 fees to HOA for being designated STR  
NO such restrictions on permanent residents or rentals over 30 days  
DISCRIMINATION evident for minority of STR property owners  
STR program has more negative impact than any possible benefit.  
HOA discrimination enabled by Washoe county STR designation

The best solution to STR regulation changes would be to discontinue the STR program altogether.

Bill and Maqriemay Carlson  
1307 Arosa Court, Incline Village

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

**From:** [mlkennedy1@charter.net](mailto:mlkennedy1@charter.net)  
**To:** [Lloyd, Trevor](#); [Oriol, Steven R.](#); [Washoe311](#); [Stark, Katherine](#)  
**Subject:** Comments re: Article 319 proposed Short Term rental Ordinance Changes  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 4:49:30 PM

---

[**NOTICE:** This message originated outside of Washoe County -- **DO NOT CLICK** on **links** or open **attachments** unless you are sure the content is safe.]

November 29, 2023

Dear Washoe County Commissioners and Planners,

Thank you for providing another opportunity (11/28/23 Zoom meeting) for public information and comment regarding the proposed amendments to the Washoe County Short Term Rental regulations.

I previously submitted requests to consider (11/15/23), however, after yesterday's meeting I would like to comment on specific items in the proposed amendments.

1. Under Section 110.319.15 Standards, # a.6: Please make this item applicable to only STRs outside of the Tahoe Basin. Allowing more than one STR permit per parcel in the Tahoe Basin will add to the growing problems of too many vehicles and visitors, as well as a reduction in available housing for resident community workers.
2. I wholeheartedly support #a.15, stating that owners who do not wish to be subjected to the established Washoe County STR standards should relinquish their permit. For example, some STR operators complain that they are not allowed to use overflow parking in multi-unit complexes.  
  
Item b.2 & 3 state that the STR parking must be only the space(s) allotted for that unit and there must be one space for every 4 occupants. The HOA where I reside doesn't have enough spaces to assign two dedicated spaces for every owner. Owners without a garage have one assigned space and can use overflow parking only when available. Before the STR regulations were established STR renters would bring 1-5 (or more) cars for every rental and there was insufficient overflow parking for full-time residents.
3. I support a tiered penalty system for STR violations, so that penalties increase with each violation, with three being the maximum violations allowed before a permit is revoked.
4. In the 11/28 meeting there was discussion regarding the possibility of reduced permit fees for owners who rent their primary residence. I oppose this idea, based on the fact that many owners may claim their Nevada property as a primary residence for tax purposes, when they in fact live the majority of the year out of state. It would be very difficult for the county to monitor this.

Again, thank you for providing opportunities for public comment on these important Short Term Rental regulations. I appreciate the work the county has done, and look forward to making this a program that respects the rights of all owners, but does not disrupt or infringe on

the lives of residents.

Sincerely,

Mary Lou Kennedy (homeowner and full-time resident)

1487 Tirol Drive, Incline Village, NV

mlkennedy1@charter.net