Washoe County Appeal of Decision to Board of County Commissioners

Your entire application is a public record. If you have a concern about releasing personal information please contact Planning and Building staff at 775.328.6100.

Appeal of Decision by (Check one)			
Note: Appeals to the Washoe County Board of County Commissioners are governed by WCC Section 110.912.20.			
☐ Planning Commission	☐ Board of Adjus	stment	
Hearing Examiner Other Deciding Body (specify) PAPLE MAI REVIEW C			
Appeal Date Information Note: This appeal must be delivered in writing to the offices of the Planning and Building Division (address is on the cover sheet) within 10 calendar days from the date that the decision being appealed is filed with the Commission or Board Secretary (or Director) and mailed to the original applicant. Note: The appeal must be accompanied by the appropriate appeal fee (see attached Master Fee Schedule).			
Date of this appeal: 3/22/24 ORIGINAL 3/29/24 CORRECTED			
Date of action by County: 3/14/24			
Date Decision filed with Secretary: Four Food Aver 740 3/19/24			
Appellant Information			
Name: RICHARD BLAKE		Phone: (775)570-755/	
Address: 175 CEDAR LN		Fax:	
		Email: bettykorchnowywyohoo,com	
City: RENU State: NV	Zip: 8952)	Cell: SAME	
Describe your basis as a person aggrieved by the decision: I USE RHODES ROAD TO ACCESS MY PROPERTY ON CEDAL UN, THE BRIDGE ON RHODES ITAS BEEN IN DISREPAIR FOR 5 YEARS AND RHODES RD IS WAY OVERTAYED BY THE AMOUNT OF VEHICLE'S SUPPORTING THE NEW HOMES IN THE VALLEY, I FEEL THAT THE DECISION TO ALLOW THE LIFT STATION TO BE CONSTRUCTED ON THE NEWLY APPROVED PARCEL DIVISION WILL BE A HARBINGER OF MANY NEW HOMES USIN			
Appealed Decision Information RHODES &			
Application Number: WTPM23-6015			
Project Name: PLEASAWT VALLEY LIFT STATION			
State the specific action(s) and related finding(s) you are appealing: ACTION, DIVIDING EXISTING 5 ACRE PARCEZ INTO 2 PARCELS I) 4.99 ACRES 2.) 480 FTZ FINDING; APPROVAL OF THE PARCEL DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF A LIFT STATION			

Appealed Decision Information (continued)			
Describe why the decision should or should not have been made: SOME QUESTIONS FROM NEARBY RESIDENTS AND CONCERNS WERE NOT ADDRESSED OR ANSWERED, DUE TO APPLICANTS (8) FIVE ACRE PARCELS BEING EASILY SERVED (PER COUNTY REGULATIONS) BY SEPTIC SYSTEMS THEE IS NO NEED TO SUBDIVINE ONE OF THE (5) ACRE PARCELS FOR THE DEDICATION OF AN UNNEEDED LIFT STATION.			
Cite the specific outcome you are requesting with this appeal:			
THAT NO SUBDIVISING OF THE PARCEL BE APPROVED AND			
NO LIFT STATION BE ALLOWED			
Did you speak at the public hearing when this item was considered?			
Did you submit written comments prior to the action on the item being appealed?			
Appellant Signature			
Printed Name: RICHARD BLAKE			
Signature: Ruhul Blile			
Date: 3/29/24 (CORRECTED) VERSION			